

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,267	04/06/2001	Kevin J. Negus	034421-098	6346
7	590 05/18/2005		EXAM	INER
Robert E. Kerbs			WARE, CICELY Q	
Thelen, Reid &	: Priest LLP			
P.O. Box 640640			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95164-0640			2634	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T	<b>A</b>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	09/828,267	NEGUS, KEVIN J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Cicely Ware	2634
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>amer</u> 2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12/02/2004 is/are: a)☒ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica nty documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure, which goes to make up the device, must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Khayrallah et al. (US Patent 6,490,314).

With regard to claim 1, Khayrallah et al. discloses in (Fig. 3) a frequency-hopping wireless communication system using a plurality of low bandwidth hops (76, 78, 80, 82, 84, 86, 88, 90, 92) and high bandwidth hops (70, 72, 74), wherein each bandwidth hop has a frequency-hopping center frequency and wherein more center frequencies are available for use for the low bandwidth hops than by the high bandwidth hops (col. 1, lines 44-49, col. 2, lines 47-63, col. 4, lines 3-4, 19-32, col. 5, lines 66-67, col. 6, lines 1-49).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3, 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khayrallah et al. (US Patent 6,490,314) as applied to claim 1, in view of Pezzlo et al. (US Patent 6,049,561).
- (1) With regard to claim 3, claim 3 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. However Khayrallah et al. does not disclose a pseudo-random sequence generator is provided at a transmitter and a receiver.

However Pezzlo et al. discloses a pseudo-random sequence generator is provided at a transmitter and a receiver (col. 1, lines 32-39).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Khayrallah et al. in view of Pezzlo et al. to incorporate a pseudo-random sequence generator is provided at a transmitter and a receiver in order for the receiver to be able to maintain track of and thereby maintain itself tuned to, the transmitter pseudo randomly changing carrier frequency (Pezzlo et al., col. 1, lines 39-41).

- (2) With regard to claim 4, claim 4 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. Pezzlo et al. further discloses wherein the same pseudo-random sequence generator is used for both high and low bandwidth signals (col. 4, lines 2-9).
- (3) With regard to claim 5, claim 5 inherits all the limitations of claim 4. Pezzlo et al. further discloses wherein a certain pseudo-random sequence generation value corresponds to a different low bandwidth frequency center than high frequency bandwidth center (col. 4, lines 6-15).

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cicely Ware whose telephone number is 703-305-8326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/828,267

Art Unit: 2634

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314

for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

3900.

Cicely Ware

cqw

May 1, 2005

Page 5

PRIMARY EXAMINER